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Final Report: Water and Climate Change Research

The Water and Climate Change Research Project (2011–2017) was a joint effort between the Bureau of Reclamation and the Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES). The effort was comprised of several separate research projects intended to advance the scientific capabilities that support effective water management. This is the final report for BOR R11AC81334.

Projects

- **Improving Extreme Precipitation Estimation Using Regional, High-resolution Model-based Methods (Task 1 & Task 5)**
  Technical Lead: Kelly Manning (formerly CIRES)
  Collaborators: Michael Mueller (CIRES), Michael Alexander (NOAA), James Scott (CIRES), Missi Hughes (CIRES), Susan Gwaltney-Grimm (CIRES), Joe Barcough (CIRES)

- **Diagnosing the Moisture Sources for Extreme Precipitation Events in the Intermountain West (Task 2 and Task 6)**
  Technical Lead: Kelly Manning (NOAA, formerly CIRES)
  Collaborators: Michael Alexander (NOAA)

- **Evaluating the Relevance, Trustworthiness, and Applicability of CMIP5 Climate Projections for Water Resources and Environmental Planning (Task 3)**
  Technical Lead: James Scott (CIRES)
  Collaborators: Michael Alexander (NOAA), Levi Evenson (Bureau of Reclamation)

- **High-resolution Meteorological and Hydrologic Data Extension to Transboundary Basins in Southern Canada and Northern Mexico (Task 7)**
  Technical Lead: Ben Luner (CIRES)

- **Enhancing Water Orographic Snowfall in the West Through Cloud Seeding (Task 8)**
  Technical Lead: David Reynolds (CIRES)
Diagnosing the Moisture Sources for Extreme Precipitation Events in the Western US

It is important to both scientists and water managers to better understand the synoptic (weather-related) and climatic processes that influence heavy precipitation events in the US Intermountain West in addition to the windward side of the Sierra and Cascade Mountains. This research has the potential to better inform decisions about dam safety and flood hydrology, for example by helping to guide storm transport for estimating maximum precipitation. In addition, there is the potential to improve long-term outlooks through a better understanding of how these extreme events are related to climate variability and change.

Long narrow bands of enhanced water vapor transport generally located ahead of cold fronts, termed atmospheric rivers (ARs), are associated with heavy rain and snow events along the US west coast. Recent studies suggest that ARs could move inland and contribute a significant fraction (10%-50%) of wintry precipitation in the Intermountain West. Since flow over mountains causes air to cool and thus hold less moisture, how does the moisture penetrate the high terrain and complex topography near the US west coast in sufficient amounts to produce extreme precipitation events in the Intermountain West (IMW, from the cascade/sierra - continental divide)?

Here we investigate the following questions associated with extreme precipitation events in the US IMW during the cold season (October-March):

- Are there dominant moisture pathways?
- Do they differ for different regions in the IMW?
- Is the moisture transported influenced by mountain gaps?
- What synoptic features are associated with the moisture transport?

Findings & Outcomes:
Two methods were used to identify the paths of moisture transport that reach the US Intermountain west (IMW) during heavy precipitation events in winter: 1) Backward in time trajectories and 2) Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOFs, patterns) of ITCV.

Both methods indicate that moisture originating from the Pacific that produces extreme precipitation in the IMW US during winter take dominant pathways that are influenced by gaps in the Cascade (Oregon-Washington), Sierra-Nevada (California) and Peninsular Mountains (southern California through Baja California).

The Weather and Climate Research Project

---

**Publications:**

- **High-resolution Model-based Investigation of Moisture Transport into the Pacific Northwest During a Strong Atmospheric River Event (Accepted)**
  - Authors: Michael Mueller, Kelly Mahoney, Mimi Hughes, and Michael Alexander
  - Authors: Cindy Ho, Yohei Sato, Mimi Hughes, and Michael Alexander
  - Tracking Pathways of Atmospheric Rivers (2016)
  - Authors: Dustin Swales, Michael Alexander, and Mimi Hughes

---

**Video:**

Tracking Pathways of Atmospheric Rivers

This video, produced by the Bureau of Reclamation in collaboration with researchers from CIRES and NOAA, explains the importance of atmospheric rivers to the natural and managed water systems across the Western U.S.

---

**Figure:**

- Image credit: CRREL
- Topographic map of the United States, showing the location of the atmospheric rivers. The map shows the major river basins and the coastlines of the United States.

---

**The Weather and Climate Research Project**
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Atmospheric Rivers (Pineapple Express)

IWV – Integrated Water Vapor through the atmosphere

Ralph et al. 2006
Inland-penetrating ARs: Initial motivation

- What is the moisture source for intense winter precipitation events in the US inter-mountain west (IMW)?
- Do air parcels take specific pathways to reach key regions in states such as Arizona, Idaho and Colorado?
Methods for identifying moisture pathways during heavy precipitation events

Three semi-independent methods:

1. Backward trajectories
   - Initiating with daily observations of heavy 24-h precipitation events

2. EOFs of vertically-integrated water vapor transport (IVT) from Climate forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR)
   - Reanalysis combination of observations & model (40-km resolution)

3. Self-organizing maps
   - CFSR + extreme precipitation climatology (Livneh 2013)

- All results are for 1979-2010 (When CFSR is available)
- Cool season (Oct-Mar)
Back-trajectory approach:
Example of 4 different events in S. Idaho
Regions for trajectory count maps and cross sections:

- E. Washington/N. Idaho
- E. Oregon
- S. Idaho
- Utah
- Colorado
- Nevada
- Arizona
- New Mexico
- SE Cal
Trajectory analysis: Counts maps & cross sections

- Count maps - # of trajectories passing through a CFSR grid column
  - Topography contoured (1000, 1500 m); hatching > 2300 m

- Cross sections: Illustrate trajectory counts along Cascades, Sierras, and Peninsula Mountains (Southern & Baja California)
Trajectory approach: Counts maps, cross sections by region

CountMap(WA-nID)

CountMap(OR-sID)

Cross-section(WA-nID)

Cross-section(OR-sID)
Trajectory approach: Counts maps, cross sections by region
Trajectory approach: Counts maps, cross sections by region

CountMap(sCA)

Cross-section(sCA)

CountMap(AZ-NM)

Cross-section(AZ-NM)
• EOFs illustrate general synoptic conditions associated with heavy precipitation events in the IMW
• Common features include 500 hPa trough located northwest of ridge, tight gradient just upstream of heavy precipitation → strong moisture transport from southwest that reaches a maximum near topographic gaps.
• EOFs based on land only and normalized Precipitation
  – By normalizing and using land only emphasizes variability in the interior.
  – Can use composites to show the full fields (including over the ocean) based on the value of the principal component (PC, time series):
Self-organizing maps: Moisture sources for extreme precipitation events in the Intermountain West

Self-organized map nodes 4 and 7

- Iterative grouping of similar maps
- A substantial number of extreme precipitation events associated with infrequently occurring synoptic patterns
- Confirms moisture pathways in Alexander et al. (2015)

Figures from Swales et al. 2016
Summary

- Trajectory, EOF, self-organized maps analyses:
  - Pacific moisture producing extreme precipitation in interior west takes clear pathways through terrain gaps (Alexander et al. 2015)
  - Topography clearly influences moisture transport and precipitation, but large-scale atmospheric circulation and synoptic conditions shape conditions necessary for heavy precipitation to occur
  - Depth, intensity of moisture tied to controlling synoptic and mesoscale processes
- There can be two (or more) pathways to a given region; a single pathway can transverse more than one region
- Pathways identified not limited to moist air: air also flows through these gaps from the west during dry conditions (often more from north and at higher elevations)
- Limited observations in certain locations (e.g., northern Colorado, high elevation locations in general) limit trajectory results
- Better methods for identifying regions, including precipitation estimates from higher elevations and finer resolution data may lead to a more precise depiction of moisture pathways in the intermountain west.

Alexander et al. (2015)
Related work occurring among other groups

- Bracken et al. (2015)
- Backes et al. (2015)
- Hatchett et al. (2017)
Summary

There are preferred pathways through mountain gaps for moisture to reach the interior west:

Through Columbia River valley => across Washington => N. Idaho => W. Montana

Northern California => north of the high Sierras => Northern Nevada => Southern Idaho

Across Baja California (2-3 gaps) => North into Arizona* => Utah and Colorado

* case study/WRF simulation

Key Lessons Learned

- Results may depend on methods:
  - e.g. subjective regions, EOF limitations
  - resolution dependence?

Next Steps/Future Work (next talk)

- Transfer to data & methods to Reclamation
- Additional methods for grouping storms
- Generate/analyze ensemble of high-resolution simulations of heavy precipitation events
- Examine impact of climate change on ARs

(How) Was this research used by Reclamation?
Reclamation application of NOAA/CIRES research

Post-project, from Reclamation (Technical Service Center) partners: ...we share information about moisture pathways with other people in the agency who know little about weather and climate...we use this information in reports (like background info) to discuss how/where moisture travels across the West to remote, high-elevation watersheds. We have not used the trajectory code in any official capacity (unlike the WRF simulations)...

Caldwell et al. (2014)
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Process-based understanding: Extreme precipitation events in multiple interior mountain ranges: January 2010 flooding

- In-depth observational and high-resolution numerical model study of AR-driven flood in Arizona’s Mogollon Rim and points further inland
- Sequential moisture removal by multiple mountain ranges
- Removal proportional to terrain height
- Landfall angle key to basin-wide precipitation impact.
- Simplified linear model of orographic precipitation: R2O potential

Figures from Neiman et al. 2013 (left) and Hughes et al. 2014 (right)
Process based understanding: High-resolution modeling to understand inland moisture transport during November 2006 Glacier National Park flooding

- 5-7 November 2006: Record-breaking rainfall in Cascades and interior mountains
- Extreme rainfall and snowmelt → destructive flooding at Montana’s Glacier National Park (800-km inland)
- High-resolution modeling: insight to micro-, meso-, synoptic-scale processes:
  - No single dominant pathway through Pacific Northwest during this event
  - Path of least resistance through Columbia River Gorge
  - Inland moisture transport most effective during strong, vertically deep AR conditions
  - Compare vertical depth of moisture transport to downstream terrain barriers

Where were the primary corridors for inland moisture penetration?

**Vertical Vapor Transport Profile**

Vertical profiles near Portland, OR (western end of C.R. Gorge)

- Vertical evolution of water vapor transport in 12-hr averages
- At onset, transport maximum below 850 hPa
- As event matured, secondary maximum 700-500 hPa layer
- All transport above C.R. Gorge, 65-85% above Cascades ridgetop
Process-based understanding: Inland-Penetrating Atmospheric River Flood Event under Potential Future Thermodynamic Conditions

- How are inland moisture pathways impacted by large-scale thermodynamic changes?
- Pseudo-global warming (PGW) simulations: “future” inland precipitation increases occur via stronger, deeper moisture transport more effectively crossing Oregon’s Coastal and Cascade mountain ranges.

- Precipitation (Future – Past): Precipitation increases in all future simulations: notable changes across specific inland locations, especially Idaho’s Sawtooth Mountain Range.

- Precipitation that fell as snow in 2006 becomes rain in future simulations for mid- and high-elevations. Potential enhanced flood risk?

- Inland moisture transport increases from both thermodynamics and dynamics: enhanced absolute environmental moisture + localized strengthened lower- and mid-level dynamics

Everyone at the Table: Colorado and New Mexico’s Comprehensive Approach to Modernizing Extreme Precipitation Estimation for Dam Safety Decision-Making

Kelly Mahoney¹, Bill McCormick², Trevor Alcott³, Rob Cifelli¹, Eric James⁴, Robert S. Webb¹
¹NOAA Earth Systems Research Laboratory, Physical Sciences Division, ²Colorado Division of Water Resources, ³NOAA Earth Systems Research Laboratory, Global Systems Division, ⁴University of Colorado, CIRES at NOAA/ESRL/GSD

The challenge

- Are our high-hazard dam spillways currently safe?
- Dam spillways must be able to safely route flows from extreme precipitation to avoid loss of life and downstream property damage.
- Estimating potential extreme rainfall amounts (through probable maximum precipitation; “PMP”) is a critical component of dam spillway design.
- PMP estimation methods are outdated; can new approaches improve estimates?
- Impact of climate change not currently represented in PMP: (how) should it be?

Science to Action

Colorado Division of Water Resources & New Mexico Office of the State Engineer updating extreme precipitation estimates for dam spillway adequacy evaluations, prioritizing best available methods and scientific understanding available.

- Ensemble of methods being used to update extreme precipitation estimates
- Three technical tasks: pursuing different methods, conducted concurrently and in collaboration with each other
- NOAA contributions include:
  1) A “super-ensemble” of hourly High-Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR) model forecasts: Use all forecast cycles and forecast hours from 2012 – present to create novel high-resolution “dataset of opportunity”
  2) Use high-resolution model data to improve max, mean, mean-annual-maximum fields to inform PMP/AEP products;
  3) Storm seasonality, storm patterns, precipitation-elevation relationships, rain-vs.-snow
  4) Simulation of specific historic flood events
  5) Recommendations based on improved physical process simulation, understanding

Using dynamical weather models to better understand heavy precipitation potential and relationships to surrounding environment

Example: HRRR 6-h “ensemble” max precip overlaying model topography + 2400m (~7800ft) elevation outline in yellow
Summary

• NOAA ESRL Physical Sciences Division/CIRES well-versed in physical processes affecting inland-penetrating AR precipitation

• Work to-date has greater focus on climatology, process understanding relative to predictability at any scale

• Upcoming work with Reclamation Mid-Pacific Region to better understand western US precipitation processes, impacts in NA-CORDEX dataset
Summary

• Opportunities to extend and apply this work to S2S challenges?

• ARs quite predictable on weather time scales

• AR events, specific weather, impacts: little skill on S2S scales

• Will improvements in global model resolution, physics, initialization...
  - improve ENSO, MJO, QBO, etc., →
  - improve jet stream representation, parent midlatitude synoptic cyclone patterns →
  - improve/enable probabilistic S2S inland AR precipitation forecasts??

• While we await improvements (or if they don’t materialize as desired), can we better sync up user needs with burgeoning inland pathway scientific understanding to refine/reframe questions for inland impacts and planning?
Additional Slides
WRF model Columbia River Gorge terrain experiments

• How does “filling in” the Columbia River Gorge affect inland moisture transport and precipitation amount, distribution?
  – For an extreme precipitation event (Nov 2006 flooding)
  – For a recent high-impact event (Oct 2016 wind/rain event) – not shown yet
Fill in the CRG using WRF’s pre-processor 3D view, rotated facing ~west-east
Precipitation differences CRG gap fill – Control

Both maps: Nov 2006 Glacier Flood case
Zoom in on right

Note larger colorbar scale
Fill in the CRG using WRF’s pre-processor

- A little rough for now, but doesn’t seem to introduce any instabilities
Diagnosing the Moisture Sources for Extreme Precipitation Events in the Intermountain West

**Gap(s) Addressed**

- Improved use of existing-quality weather, climate and/or hydrologic predictions in the development of operations outlooks
- Development of improved weather and climate predictions
- Improved precipitation forecasts for landfalling storms in coastal areas

**Research Question(s)**

How does the large volume of water necessary to sustain intense precipitation events during winter in the intermountain west reach its destination given the distance from the moisture source in the Pacific and the complex regional topography?

**Collaborators/Schedule/Source of Support**

- U. Colorado/CIRES
- Reclamation Research & Development Office
- Reclamation
- Flood Hydrology & Consequence Group (FHCG)
- Majority complete in FY12-13, potential for continued support FY14-15 focus on research-to-operations with FHGC
Backward Trajectory Analysis

- Six regions selected chosen subjectively based on:
  - Adequate number of stations
  - Topographic features (e.g. not dividing mountain gaps)
  - Grouping stations that exhibit similar precipitation maxima
  - Contain heavy precipitation events
  - Reclamation Interest
  - Guided by IVT EOF Analysis

- Trajectories computed for the top 150 24-hour precipitation events for the 4 CFSR grid points surrounding a station

- Trajectories start at a level 50-100 hPa above the surface
  Generally in the upper boundary layer/lower free atmosphere (often where the moisture maximum is located)

- 2400 trajectories = 150 unique events (only 1 station) x 4 grid points x 4 times per day x 1 level
Are these pathways unique to extreme precipitation events?

Examine back trajectories initiated at random stations (at which a top event occurred) on random winter days.
Counts & X-sections for the Random Generated trajectories

Random

Extreme Trajectories
Extreme minus Random

Blue: Extreme > Random
Red: Random > Extreme
Composite based on top 1% of EOF/PC 1 IVT values
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CFSR Precip (mm/day) Anom ONDJFM (lag 0)

700mb q(g/kg) Anom ONDJFM (lag 0)

500mb Hgt(dm) Anom ONDJFM (lag 0)

Livneh Precip (mm/day) Anom ONDJFM (lag 0)
Composite based on top 1% of EOF/PC 2
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Composite based on Bottom 1% of EOF/PC 2
Composite based on top 1% of EOF/PC 3 - modified